

**SAPAC Workshop Evaluation**  
**UM Football team**  
**[1990s]**

**Number of respondents: 58**

**Overall equation of workshop (average): 2.85**

**\* 4=excellent, 1=poor**

***1. What did you like about this workshop?***

- It educated many who needed education.
- A chance to get feedback from others and interact about serious situations.
- How everybody was open about their feelings. How important women are. They should be more appreciated. Respected more.
- They defined for us what these topics were.
- Raising awareness about sexual harassment/assault, etc.
- The guy in the black sweater (forgot name) was very impressive in relating to us.
- Information
- Presented beneficial info.
- It was fine.
- Provide a necessary service and valuable information and discussion.
- Issues that were brought up.
- It let us know about the laws/definition of certain things about this workshop.
- The discussion was straight forward. Also, participants brought up "real" issue.
- I liked everything about the workshop. It was very informative. I liked the question and answer period.
- It was educating.
- The discussion.
- Amount of info. Visual aids/film.
- The honesty and genuine interest the facilitator showed to the subject. The open atmosphere.
- Comments on the existence of false accusation. Expressing views from male perspective.
- Insight on being able to identify abuse.
- Detail about what was considered sexual assault by law.
- To clear up some of the topics that I didn't understand before.
- Good discussion.
- Honest. Did what it had to.
- The presenters were very articulate and did a good job of presenting the material. Power wheel.
- Being educated about all of the things that goes along with each topic.
- We were informed on the legal ramifications of not being careful and being in bad situations.
- Open discussion about issues.
- It was fun. It went by faster than I thought. Also, good information was discussed.
- It as informative.
- Facilitators not offended by our views.
- It was a lot of info that was new to myself.
- It was very educational.
- Presented various scenarios that are real life occurrences. Explained laws well about sexual assault and violence.
- I enjoyed the various discussions on the different boundaries of these issues. I also enjoyed the questions and examples.
- How well I was informed about the laws.

- The way the people presented the information in a "legal" type of way.
- It taught us new opinions and viewpoints from a view that we may or may not have seen before.
- It enlightened me on some subjects that I wasn't clear on.
- The videos.
- The frankness of the discussions.
- Videos. Visual aids.
- I thought it was good that they tell us what the consequences are.
- It helped me to clarify the law on the whole subject.
- It gave me a better perspective of what, how, when women think about.
- The openness of the group.
- The information.
- We talked about different topics.
- It was informing.
- Information provided in an organized manner.
- Videos.
- Talking about women's perspective and how sexual harassment occurs.
- I was really able to see the female's perspective on the issues.
- Male and female perspective.

## **2. What did you dislike about this workshop?**

- That the groups were not split up upon knowledge levels.
- Time length.
- Too many questions left up in the air.
- I think the main purpose of the workshop and raising awareness and how to help limit sexual abuse was not fully covered. I think there were too many "scenario" questions.
- Workshop went well, I have more of a problem against societal double standards. The male is automatically wrong way too often.
- Length.
- A little long.
- Too long.
- Facilitating people had strong opinions and rarely listened to a differing opinion.
- That didn't attack the female's position.
- The general tone was that men are more responsible for what occurs sexually.
- The temperature of the room was too cold.
- It was repetitive.
- The video did not relate to us. Was more for older people (men) with domestic abuse problems.
- Very one sided. A lot more violence is occurring against men.
- Biasness. Guy is always wrong.
- That they did not have men on their paid staff. That they did not offer women ways to stop the assault (physical or etc.) besides talking, getting help from others.
- Video was boring and didn't really reflect ideas that we are affected by.
- Video tape.
- Too much unrelated debate.
- I wasn't told until the day before. I had other things to do.
- Too long. Too many personal thoughts. In fact, tons of the same comments.
- The off-track comments. Sticking to the goals would allow for more to be accomplished.
- Once we got going we were not able to run with it. We got cut off. It was also hard to really identify with a female taking the reigns. There needs to be more males.

- Negative bias towards men.
- It was too long. Could be organized a little better, a lot of what was said was repeated over and over.
- It could have been more from a male's POV.
- I think a lot of it was insulting to my morals and intelligence. A little long.
- I thought that most of it was insulting to my intelligence. Took too long.
- The information was . . . (?) . . . one-sided into a female perspective. I believe that more emphasis should be on rape outside of parties and people should be told to avoid parties in general.
- Our director often got frustrated with us, but it's understandable b/c she doesn't know the atmosphere we're under all the time.
- The facilitator was intimidated and didn't understand the position, as athletes, that we are put in.
- The clear definitions of everything wasn't there. Too many issues that can be debated.
- All of the talk.
- The generalizations that were made.
- Talking was not very stimulating. Seemed as the leader was biased towards her subject.
- The facilitator would change the subject when one of us brought up a good point to contradict their views.
- It seemed as though they were on the defense. That instead of trying to relate they were defending.
- Overall it was very well done.
- The video needs to relate to college students.
- Not enough focused on men. I felt that the woman was always right.
- The facilitator had only 1 point of view.
- The lady had only one point of view.
- Rape video was not very realistic. Material not presented from an athlete's perspective.
- Women have complete power whether or not they were consenting or not.
- Women have the power to say whether you raped them or not you have no power. It was too much influence by how the woman has all the power.

### **3. Please comment on how well the material was presented:**

- This material was very well presented and I came out more educated about women and men in violence.
- Fine. (2)
- Good (5)
- Very good.
- Presented well. (11)
- Very well (4)
- Very well, everything was answered.
- Not much depth was gone into.
- Presented well. I got a lot out of it.
- It was presented normal.
- The material was presented in an orderly manner and the facilitators were prepared to discuss the material and answer questions.
- Sarah and the gentleman handled all questions very informally professional.
- Made me realize how fine a line a man can walk at times.
- Very clear.
- Well, but too many of the same comments.
- Organization. Perspectives.
- Sarah seem to be a little timid toward us. Also she seem a little biased. But I guess maybe she was just trying to play the "devils advocate."

- It was presented in a very good manner. It was clear and open to thoughts and questions.
- Presented well and professionally but unprepared for the questions coming from the specific audience.
- I felt that they responded to the questions well.
- The material was presented in a very efficient and informative manner.
- The material was presented in a good and efficient way.
- The material was presented very thorough.

#### **4. What would you suggest for us in the future?**

- It was a good as it can be with our group.
- Keep up the good work!
- I personally don't take stock into the violent wheel. Is it correct = yes. I think it would be better discussed than in that form.
- Shorter program.
- More attention on emotions and laws in depth.
- Tell stories related to your group.
- More men on staff.
- To have a video with charactes [characters] in it that represent the audience in which you are presenting it to.
- Shorter presentation.
- Different video.
- Do you offer prevention? Maybe it is not the right way to react, but women should not provoke it.
- Develop a program that emphasizes the strength women possess [possess] and give them ways to stop the violence.
- Using video that the members of audience can relate to.
- More time.
- People did not seem to be normal. I could not relate.
- To get more presented.
- I thought the scenarios were good and the discussion was good.
- Shorten program.
- More interactive material. More time.
- More males intervention. Try to recruit more males if you could.
- Inform people evenly from the male and female POV.
- Not such obvious answers to scenarios.
- A better video.
- Nothing really, I felt that it was well presented.
- Get ore real. Don't be like Mrs. Clever.
- A video of scenarios that we discussed and then discuss what and who was in the wrong or right.
- Keep having good discussions.
- To concentrate more on how a woman should not put herself in the situation, and how the man could keep from the situation, i.e. no drinking, etc.
- Understand the group whcih you are coming into and be prepared to answer the questions given. Even if your viewpoint isn't the same.
- Not so long, got boring.
- Do not attack so much.
- Listen more to the audience.
- Break it up into smaller and shorter groups so that it sin't so long and drawn out.
- Possibly more handouts.
- Make sure you get everyone's opinion.

- Not to be biased.
- Look at both sides.
- Try to look at a situation in more than one way.
- Have a question period at the end only.
- Cover both sides.
- Keep telling people about SAPAC but focus more on man's perspective.
- More time to discuss and conclude at end of session with everyone together.